



Parliamentary control of Europol

Summary

In accordance with Ch9, Art. 20 of the Riksdag Act, the Committee has examined a document from a working group consisting of representatives of the Parliaments in Luxembourg, Slovakia and Estonia and of the European Parliament, containing a proposal on the establishment of a Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europol.

According to the proposal, the members of the Scrutiny Group shall be appointed by each of the Parliaments/Chambers. Each national parliament may nominate two members, and the European Parliament ten members. According to the proposal, the Scrutiny Group shall meet twice a year. The Presidency shall be shared by the European Parliament and the country currently holding the Presidency of the Council.

The Committee can, with a certain degree of hesitation, accept the proposal. However, it is important that the number of members in the JPSG does not exceed the proposed number, and that the number of ordinary meetings per year does not exceed the two proposed meetings. The Committee would also like to stress that scrutiny of Europol should be simple, quick and concrete, and that it is opposed to the establishment of a new institution or secretariat to assist the JPSG.

The examined documents

Europol Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group. Draft Text of Troika Working Group for the Conference of Speakers of EU Parliaments, 23-25 April 2017.

Contents

The Committee's proposal for a decision by the Riksdag	3
Account of the matter	.4
The matter and its consideration	4
The Committee's examination	5
A Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europol	5
<i>Appendix</i>	
List of examined documents	10

The Committee's proposal for a decision by the Riksdag

A Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europol

The Riksdag puts the Statement on file.

Stockholm 26 January 2017

On behalf of the Committee on Justice

Beatrice Ask

The following members participated in the decision: Beatrice Ask (Moderate Party), Annika Hirvonen Falk (Green Party), Helene Petersson i Stockaryd (Social Democratic Party), Elin Lundgren (Social Democratic Party), Krister I-lammarbergh (Moderate Party), Arhe Hamednaca (Social Democratic Party), Anti Avsan (Moderate Party), Susanne Eberstein (Social Democratic Party), Johan Hedin (Centre Party), Anders Hansson (Moderate Party), Petter Loberg (Social Democratic Party), Adam Marttinen (Sweden Democrats), Roger Haddad (Liberal Party), Linda Snecker (Left Party), Andreas Carlson (Christian Democrats), Lawen Redar (Social Democratic Party) and Runar Filper (Sweden Democrats).

Account of the matter

The matter and its consideration

After consulting the party group leaders, the Speaker decided that a document from the European Union on the establishment of a Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europol would be considered by the Riksdag (cf. Ch.9, Art. 20 of the Riksdag Act). The document contains a draft text from a working group (the Troika), consisting of representatives of the Parliaments in Luxembourg, Slovakia and Estonia and of the European Parliament. A final adoption of the Scrutiny Group's working procedures etc. is planned for the Conference of Speakers of EU Parliaments in Bratislava on 23-25 April.

The Chamber referred the matter to the Committee on Justice for examination on 11 January 2017.

The Committee's examination

A Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europol

The Committee's proposals in brief
The Riksdag puts the Statement on file.

Background

The Europol Regulation came into force in May 2016 and will start to apply on 1 May 2017. Article 51 deals with the subject of joint parliamentary scrutiny. It opens as follows:

Pursuant to Article 88 TFEU, the scrutiny of Europol's activities shall be carried out by the European Parliament together with national parliaments. This shall constitute a specialised Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group (JPSG) established together by the national parliaments and the competent committee of the European Parliament. The organisation and the rules of procedure of the JPSG shall be determined together by the European Parliament and the national parliaments in accordance with Article 9 of Protocol no. 1.

Further, the article states that the JPSG shall politically monitor Europol's activities in fulfilling its mission. The article also lists the documents that are to be submitted to the JPSG for its information and with regard to obligations regarding discretion and confidentiality.

The process for defining the JPSG's working procedures

The conclusions from the Conference of Speakers of EU Parliaments in Luxembourg from May 2016 propose a step-by-step procedure for defining the JPSG's working procedures. A working group (the Troika), comprising representatives of the Parliaments of Luxembourg, Slovakia and Estonia and the European Parliament was given the task of preparing a proposal after obtaining the opinions of the other parliaments.

On 23 September 2016, the Troika sent a questionnaire to all national parliaments and the European Parliament. The Troika wanted answers to the following questions:

1. Who should participate in the JPSG?
2. How many members should the Group have?

¹ Regulation (EU) 2016/794 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 May 2016 on the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol) and replacing and repealing Council Decisions 2009/371/JHA, 2009/934/JHA, 2009/935/JHA, 2009/936/JHA and 2009/968/JHA

3. How often should the group meet and who should be Chair?

All together, 34 parliaments/Chambers representing 25 member states and the European Parliament answered the questionnaire. On the basis of the replies, the Troika presented a draft proposal on 22 November 2016, which was subsequently discussed at the inter-parliamentary committee meeting at the European Parliament on 28 November 2016. From the Riksdag, two members of the Committee on Justice participated in the meeting.

Following the opinions from both consultations (the questionnaire and the inter-parliamentary committee meeting), the Troika presented a compromise proposal on 16 December 2016. The intention is that the proposal shall be adopted at the Conference of Speakers of EU Parliaments in Bratislava on 23-25 April 2017. It is this text that will be considered in this Statement.

As stated above, the Europol Regulation will start to apply on 1 May 2017.

Proposal regarding the work procedure of the Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europol

In the current document, the Troika presents, on the basis of the opinions submitted in response to earlier drafts, the reasoning that has led to the current proposal. One point of departure has been to prioritise the basic modalities of the JPSG so that the Group can convene as soon as possible. Furthermore, the Troika notes that the JPSG's tasks are already set out in the Europol Regulation and that they are specific to Europol's function as a body for cooperation in police matters. Finally, it is stated that it is important to ensure efficiency and workability in the newly created body.

The explanatory text also mentions a number of further issues and questions, in addition to the question of the basic modalities of the JPSG, which was discussed at the inter-parliamentary committee meeting in the European Parliament on 28 November 2016. This includes, for example, the need for the establishment of a secretariat for the JPSG as well as sub-committees and working groups. The Troika considers that these matters fall within the scope of the rules of procedure, which the JPSG should decide on itself once constituted.

On the basis of this reasoning, the following modalities are proposed for the JPSG.

1. Members of the JPSG shall be selected individually by each Parliament/Chamber, bearing in mind the necessity to ensure substance matter expertise as well as long-term continuity and recommending to draw from the responsible committee/committees in Parliaments/Chambers.
2. Each Parliament shall have the right to nominate 2 members of the JPSG, in the case of bicameral parliaments each Chamber shall have the right to nominate 1 member of the JPSG

(unless otherwise agreed between the two Chambers). The European Parliament shall have the right to nominate 10 members of the JPSG.

3. The JPSG shall be presided jointly by the Parliament of the country holding the rotating presidency of the Council of the European Union and the European Parliament.
4. The JPSG shall meet twice a year. In the first half of the year, the JPSG shall meet in the Parliament of the country holding the rotating presidency of the Council of the European Union. In the second half of the year, the JPSG shall meet in the European Parliament. If necessary, an extraordinary meeting can be convened upon agreement of the Parliament of the country holding the rotating presidency of the Council of the European Union and the European Parliament, to address matters of urgency or matters that cannot be reasonably included in the agenda of the ordinary meetings.

Finally, it is recommended that a constituent meeting of the JPSG should be held as soon as possible in order to adopt its rules of procedure so as to enable full work to begin in the second semester of 2017.

The position of the Committee

Firstly, the Committee would like to refer to what it has said earlier about parliamentary scrutiny of Europol (Statement 2010/11:JuU21 p. 10 f). The Committee considered that it is important that there is parliamentary monitoring of activities directed towards combating crime, in particular because these types of activities often impinge on the fundamental rights and freedoms of citizens, for example, the protection of personal privacy. This also applies to Europol. In the view of the Committee, it is important that there is parliamentary scrutiny to ensure that a balance between measures intended to protect citizens and measures intended to protect the rights of the individual is maintained.

Furthermore, the Committee still considers, regarding the forms for parliamentary scrutiny, that these should be simple, rapid and concrete and that no new authorities need be established for this purpose. It should focus primarily on following up results and strategies, rather than on scrutinising individual decisions.

As regards the current proposal on the modalities of the Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europol, the Committee can, with a certain degree of hesitation, accept the proposal. The proposal is, essentially compatible with what the Committee has previously expressed, for example, about the scrutiny being simple, rapid and concrete and that no new authorities should be established for the purpose. The Committee maintains this position, and would like to stress how important it is that the JPSG does not develop into a

disproportionately large and costly activity. On the basis of this position, the Committee would like to express the following opinions about the proposal.

In similarity with what the Troika proposes regarding the matter of representation in the JPSG, the Committee considers that in view of the need to ensure subject matter expertise, it is reasonable that each Parliament/Chamber determines its representatives in the Group. As previously stated by the Committee, it is natural for the national parliaments (and the European Parliament) to be represented by representatives of the committee responsible for police matters. The insight of the national parliaments into Europol can also contribute to securing the legitimacy of European cooperation, especially in the field of police cooperation. The Committee agrees with the Troika's assessment that the members should be chosen for a longer period in order to guarantee continuity.

As regards the number of members in the JPSG, the Committee considers that the Group should not be too big as this could have a negative impact on the efficiency of its work. The Committee would like to stress how important it is that the JPSG is an efficient and result-oriented body which plays an active role in scrutiny of Europol's activities, with a focus on following up results and strategies. With the right composition and a well-balanced size, the JPSG should also be able to carry out its scrutiny without excessive costs for the EU's taxpayers.

According to the current proposal, each national parliament shall have the right to nominate two members for the JPSG. The Committee supports the proposal and considers that it is well-balanced to achieve efficiency and at the same time to reflect the composition of the parliaments and their diversity in a fair manner. The proposal also meets the needs of the parliaments with two chambers, which can nominate one member per chamber. The Committee is opposed to having a greater number of members.

The Troika proposes that the European Parliament should nominate ten members for the JPSG. This is an increase of four members compared with the previous proposal of 28 November 2016. Even though the Committee considered that six members was a reasonable number, it can with a certain degree of reluctance, accept the increase to ten members. However, it is important that there are no further increases, as this could have a negative impact on the efficiency of the Group's work. In light of the fact that Europol's primary task is to support the member states' law enforcement authorities and to facilitate their opportunities for cooperation, it is, in the opinion of the Committee, also reasonable that the member states have a greater representation in the JPSG than the European Parliament does.

As regards the frequency of meetings, the Committee prefers the previous proposal of one meeting per year, with the opportunity to call an extraordinary meeting. Increasing the number of ordinary meetings to two per year is not in line with the Committee's view that scrutiny should be conducted simply and without generating unnecessary additional costs. At the same time, the Committee notes that several member states have said that one meeting per year is not sufficient. In view of this, the Committee can accept the new proposal.

Furthermore, the Committee notes the proposal on a joint Presidency between the European Parliament and the parliament of the country holding the

Presidency of the Council. If the JPSG is to meet twice a year, the Committee has no objections to holding the meetings in the first half of the year in national parliaments and the meetings in the second half of the year in the European Parliament, but it would like once again to stress that Europol's task is to support the member states' law enforcement authorities.

Finally, the Committee would like to clarify that it is strongly opposed to the establishment of a new institution or a new secretariat for the purpose of examining Europol's activities. This is admittedly not mentioned in the current proposal, but the Committee still feels it appropriate to express its opinion in light of the opinions that were presented at the inter-parliamentary committee meeting in the European Parliament in November 2016.

APPENDIX

List of examined documents

Europol Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group. Draft Text of Troika Working Group for the Conference of Speakers of EU Parliaments, 23-25 April 2017.



HRVATSKISABOR
ODBOR ZA UNUTARNJU POLITIKU
I NACIONALNU SIGURNOST

Zagreb, 26. siječnja 2017.

Predmet: Stajaliste Odbora za unutarnju politiku i nacionalnu sigurnost o
Nacrtu teksta Racine skupine trojke o modalitetima rada Zajednicke
skupine za parlamentarni nadzor Europolu
- dostavlja se

Odbor za unutarnju politiku i nacionalnu sigurnost, na 8. sjednici, održanoj 26. siječnja 2017., u skladu s člankom 51. Poslovnika Hrvatskoga sabora, zauzeo je stajaliste o kompromisnom prijedlogu Nacrta teksta Racine skupine trojke o uspostavi i modalitetima rada Zajednicke skupine za parlamentarni nadzor Europolu (JPSG) kroz sljedeći zaključak:

1. Odbor za unutarnju politiku i nacionalnu sigurnost podržava kompromisni prijedlog Nacrta teksta Racine skupine trojke o modalitetima rada Zajednicke skupine za parlamentarni nadzor Europolu, bez amandmana, jer tekst predstavlja kompromisni, balansirani prijedlog, koji je uzeo u obzir sve elemente rasprave na međuparlamentarnom sastanku odbora UBE-a 28. studenoga 2016.

Obrazloženje:

Odbor smatra kako predloženi modalitet rada Zajednicke skupine za parlamentarni nadzor Europolu ne odudara od drugih uspostavljenih konferencija međuparlamentarne suradnje u Europskoj uniji kao što su Međuparlamentarna konferencija o stabilnosti, gospodarskoj koordinaciji i upravljanju u EU-u, Međuparlamentarna konferencija o Zajedničkoj vanjskoj i sigurnosnoj politici i Zajedničkoj sigurnosnoj i obrambenoj politici. Ujedno, smatra kako je trojka uzela u obzir sve elemente rasprave od 28. studenoga 2016, te učinila izbalansirani i kompromisan prijedlog.

S poštovanjem

/s/...-p;~SJEDNIK OOBORA
(:...- Ranko Ostojic

~:~:~:~:~

Dear Vanda,

Following the submission to national parliaments of the Draft text of the Troika Working Group for the Europol JPSG on 16 December 2016, the Domestic Policy and National Security Committee of the Croatian Parliament discussed the Draft proposal on 26 January 2016, and adopted the following conclusion:

"Domestic Policy and National Security Committee supports the compromise proposal of the Draft text of the Troika Working Group on the modalities of the Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europol, without amendments, as the proposed text represents compromise and balanced proposal which has taken into account all elements of the discussion in the UBE Interparliamentary Committee Meeting of 28 November 2016."

For information, I am attaching the Position of the Domestic Policy and National Security Committee of the Croatian Parliament on the Draft text of the Troika Working Group on the modalities of the Europol JPSG (in Croatian) which contains the abovementioned conclusion.

Kind regards,

Tanja

Tanja Babic



predstavnica Hrvatskog sabora u Europskom parlamentu

Representative of the Croatian Parliament-Sabor to the European Parliament

European Parliament

WIE 06U034, Rue Wiertz 50

B-1047 Bruxelles

Tel: +32 (0)2 284 16 12

GSM: +32 (0)491 99 69 09

E-mail: tanja.babic@natparl.ep.europa.eu or tanjababic@sabor.hr

Web: www.sabor.hr

3 February 2017

Mrs Edita Pfundtner
National Council of the Slovak Republic
Narnestie Alexandra Dubceka 1
812 80 Bratislava 1
Slovakia

Dear Mrs. Edita Pfundtner

Thank you for sending me the draft text of the Troika Working Group on the Europol Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group. The House of Lords supports the Troika's text and does not wish to submit any amendments at this stage.

Kind Regards

Yours sincerely,

Tim Boswell

Lord Boswell of Aynho
Chairman of the European Union Committee

Dear Vanda,

On behalf of Mr. Svein Roald Hansen, chair of the EFTA EEA Delegation of the Norwegian Parliament, I would like to provide a comment to the draft text of the Troika Working Group on the Europol JPSG.

As you are aware, Norway takes a great interest in the work of the new JPSG and has on several occasions expressed a view to be associated to group. Thus the Norwegian Parliament would like to suggest an addition to the current draft text:

2 bis) Parliaments of European non-EU member states who are European partner countries and members of Schengen, can participate as permanent observers to the JPSG, each with 2 members.

Reasoning

Norway entered an operational agreement with Europol in 2001, and has enjoyed an excellent cooperation with Europol ever since. Norway is among the third countries that exchange most information with Europol, and the level of information exchange is increasing. The cooperation between the EU and Norway in the area of cross-border policing is thus mutually beneficial. On the basis of Norway's operational agreement with Europol, Norway's Schengen membership, and Norway's close co-operation with the EU on justice and home affairs more generally, the Norwegian Parliament believes it would be mutually beneficial if Norwegian MPs would be associated with the JPSG as observers. In the case of the EU Inter-parliamentary Conference on the Common Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and on the Common Security and Defence Policy, the rules of procedures states that European non-EU NATO Members are permanent observers. This has been important for Norway and the clear rule simplifies the participation. We hope this can serve as an example also for the JPSG, that the Norwegian Parliament can participate in the new Group with two MPs as permanent observers, on the basis of Norway being a non-EU, but Schengen member and close Europol partner.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you need any further information from me or have any questions.

Thanks a lot for your assistance!

Kind regards

Per

Per S. Nestande
Representative of the Norwegian Parliament
European Parliament
WIE 6 U 035
GSM: +47 917 68 598
Office Brussels: +32 2 28 46422
Office Oslo: +47 23 31 36 11
— .nestande@natparl.ep.europa.eu
psn@stortinget.no

Dear Vanda,

As chair of the European Affairs Committee, and as such on behalf of the Danish Parliament, I would like to provide a comment to the draft text of the Troika Working Group on the Europa/ JPSG.

As you are aware, Denmark as a full Member of the European Union, and liable under the jurisdiction of the European Court of Justice, is currently in the process of negotiating a new cooperation model with Europa/, to establish a close cooperation between Denmark, Europa/ and the other participating states.

The Danish Parliament takes a great interest in the work of the new JPSG and would like to express an interest in view to be associated to the group as closely as possible. For now we want to express the overall interest as Parliament to participate as closely as possible, and will be willing to contribute more concretely in view of the future text of the statute of the JPSG.

Kind regards,

Erik Christensen,

Chair of the European Affairs Committee in the Danish Parliament

Chere Vanda,

J'ai eu la position de l'Assemblée nationale sur Europol.

Nous soutenons la proposition du Bundestag **sauf pour ce qui concerne la composition du groupe de contrôle (point 1 A). Il nous paraît important que cet organe soit restreint.**

En revanche, il nous semble possible de soutenir les points 1B (perennité de la composition), IC (sur les suppléants), ID (pour les parlements bicaméraux, liberté de représentation). Soutien aussi sur le point 2 relatif aux séances extraordinaires.

L'Assemblée nationale est aussi d'accord sur la suggestion allemande relative au quorum (II.1), sur le droit de consultation et de question (II.2), la constitution de sous-groupes (II.3) et la création d'un secrétariat permanent (II.4).

Faute de temps, je suis désolé de ne pas pouvoir faire un texte en anglais mais on peut en reparler lundi.

Passe un excellent week-end,

Vincent

Vincent VIVES

Représentation de l'Assemblée nationale auprès de l'Union européenne

Tel bureau : +33 (0) 3 30 83 1391 / gsm : +33 6 83 36 56 67

vl-hcsLulssemh/./e-Iwtiong.JJ.:J)

rincedl/ vivesfr(JJ(!JJlarl. ep.europa .

.aA ,V _Europe

Dear all,

The Portuguese Parliament, after a debate in the competent committees, would like to express its acceptance of the draft text of the Troika Working Group for the Europol JPSG as distributed in the 16th of December.

Best regards,

Maria Joao

te da Assembleia da Repu -c
sentative of the Portuguese Parliament to l

- 3

marajoao.costa@natparlepeuropa.eu



Dear all,

Today the compromise proposal on the JPSG was on the agenda of the committee on Security and Justice of the Tweede Kamer. The proposal was accepted. The Senate had already done this. So both Chambers of the Dutch Parliament agree with the troika. Hope that more parliaments will follow!

Best Suzanne

Suzanne Nollen

Representative of the Dutch Parliament to the European Union

Vertegenwoordiger van de Staten-Generaal bij de Europese Unie

Europees Parlement 06U009, Rue Wiertz 50, B-1047 Brussel

T: 00-32-22831243

M: 00-31-6-11737781

Email: suzanne.nollen@natparl.ep.europa.eu



LIETUVOS RESPUBLIKOS SEIMO
EUROPOS REIKALV KOMITETAS
SEIMAS OF THE REPUBLIC OF LITHUANIA
COMMITTEE ON EUROPEAN AFFAIRS

Gedimino pr. 53, LT-01109 Vilnius, LITHUANIA Tel.+ 370 5 239 6847 Fax+ 370 5 239 6409 E-mail erk@lrs.lt

Ms Edita Pfundtner
Chair of the Troika Working Group
on Europol JPSG Modalities

f;fFebruary 2017 No S-2017-1111-

CONCERNING APPROVAL BY THE SEIMAS OF THE REPUBLIC OF LITHUANIA OF
DRAFT CONCLUSIONS ON EUROPOL'S PARLIAMENTARY SCRUTINY

Dear Madam Chair,

The Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania has examined the received conclusions on Europol's parliamentary scrutiny drafted on the basis of replies by EU national Parliaments to the questionnaire concerning Europol's parliamentary scrutiny and the relevant discussions in the LIBE Inter-parliamentary Committee Meeting on 28 November 2016.

Given that Europol's parliamentary scrutiny falls within the remit of several committees of the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania, the Seimas Committee on European Affairs has requested the Committee on Legal Affairs and the Committee on National Security and Defence of the Seimas to deliver their opinions on the submitted draft conclusions.

Please be informed that in view of the opinions submitted by the aforementioned specialised Committees, the Committee on European Affairs of the Seimas of the Republic of Lithuania approved the draft conclusions on 20 January 2017 and had no comments or proposals on the draft text.

I kindly thank you for your cooperation.

Yours sincerely,
Gediminas Kirkilas

Chair of the Committee

Contact person:

Vilma Truksniene, tel. +370 5 239 6864, mob. +370 612 02387, e-mail: vilmatruk~ni~ne(cv,lrs.lt)

Dear Vanda,

let me inform you that the Draft text of the Troika Working Group for the Euro pol JPSG of 16 December 2016 was discussed by the Committee on European Affairs on 25 January 2017 with below-mentioned conclusions:

*"The Committee on European Affairs of the Chamber of Deputies of the Parliament of the Czech Republic after reasoning by the Chair of the Committee and further debate on the topic **supports** the Draft text of the Conclusions of the Conference of Speakers of EU Parliaments of 23-25 April 2017 in Bratislava as pertaining to the Europa/ Joint Parliamentary Scrutiny Group for Europa/ proposed and submitted by the Troika Working Group on 16 December 2016."*

At the same time (on 25 January 2017) the draft was discussed by the Committee on Security, which also expressed its support to the proposed text.

There is a fiction in the Rules of Procedure of the Chamber of Deputies, that the resolution of the Committee on European Affairs is supposed to be the resolution of the whole Chamber, if the issue was not discussed by the plenary.

I will send you courtesy translation of the respective resolution in English as soon as I have it.

Best regards,

Eva

EVA TETOUROVA
Střídá zástupkyne PS PCR při EP

*Permanent Representative to the European Parliament
Chamber of Deputies, Parliament of the Czech Republic*

European Parliament

WIE 06 U 024

Rue Wiertz 50, B-1047 Brussels

Tel.: +32(0) 228 43312
GSM CZ: +420 727 807 535
GSM BE: +32. 485 260 048

eva.tetourova@natpa.rlp.europa.eu