

The IMK is an institute of the Hans-Böckler-Stiftung

OVERCOMING WEAK INVESTMENT IN EUROPE: THE NEED FOR COORDINATED PUBLIC INVESTMENT & HOW TO ACHIEVE IT

Interparliamentary conference "Stability, economic coordination and governance in the EU", Bratislava, 18 Octomber 2016

Andrew Watt

Key points

- Investment is weak in Europe and this needs to be turned around
- Economics of weak private and need for public investment have been understood for 80 years
- 3. Public and private investment in the current situation are complements not competitors
- 4. Politics is difficult but boil down to
 - MS must be enabled (in some cases constrained) to raise public investment
 - And/or there must be common financing
- 5. Juncker Plan style common fund one option/building block
- 6. Real need is for broade economic governance

Weak investment

Clear Indicators:

- Still depressed investment shares oif GDP (>-2pp)
- Current account surplus (domestic I<S)
- Extremely low (negative) interest rates
- High unemployment, sluggish growth, slowing growth potential
- Investment boost needed for cyclical and longerterm structural (ecological) reasons

Weak private investment and needed response well understood

- Seeming paradox weak investment-low interest rates
- Understood already in 1930s
- Fundamental uncertainty, self-fulfilling demand weakness, flight to cash and safe assets, monetary policy pushing on a string
- Need for expansionary fiscal policy (a degree of "socialisation of investment" - Keynes)
- Low interest rates signal no crowding out//fiscal multipliers unusually high
 - Public investment a "free lunch" (IMF)
 - Creates basis for complementary private investment



Reasons for policy failure

Economic case for greater public investment at current juncture unanswerable, so why so weak

- Partly ideological
- Partly economic governance weaknesses in Europe
 - "stupid" fiscal rules constrain MS
 - Investment "easiest" to cut, when it is most useful
 - Those who could won't (DE), those who would can't (most other MS)
 - MIP asymmetric and weakly implemented (deflationary bias)
 - Extremely limited European-level fiscal capacity



Ways forward – a common investment fund?

- Juncker Plan sensible response, some success in roll-out, also in terms of longer-run (ecological) needs ... but
 - quantity of genuine additional investment limited
 - some "qualitative" issues (geographical distribution, PPP)

Logically -> a larger and longer-term common investment programme

- But not the only and probably not the best way
- A work-around debilitating fiscal rules
- Given negative real interest rates most MS would raise public investmet if they could



Ways forward – options (not mutually exclusive)

- Golden rule in national public finance
 - Take net investment spending (definition?) out of fiscal rules, perhaps capped (e.g. 2%)
- Cross-border automatic stabilisation
 - Reduce MS financing constraints ("crowding out within state budget") in downturn
- Tax policy coordination
 - Generally to safeguard revenue base
 - More specifically to tax corporations and wealthy individuals who are oversaving MS levels
- Monetary financing of public investment
 - Give ECB capacity to buy newly issued EIB bonds; EIB lends to MS for pub inv; ECB returns debt service to MS as central bank "profits"



Ways forward – economic governance reform

- Reform economic policy coordination to achieve balanced growth, encourage private investment
- How when opposition to centralisation/common debt?
 - Give teeth to BEPGs (Article 121 TFEU)
 - Make MIP symmetrical & decisive in evaluating national fiscal stance
 - Broaden remit of planned advisory fiscal council (Macroeconomic Development & Convergence)
 - MS convergence (not competitiveness) councils
 - Strengthen Macreconomic Dialogue at €A and MS levels, bringing in social partners (nominal incomes policies)



Thank you for your Attention

www.imk-boeckler.de

Follow us on Twitter: http://twitter.com/IMKFlash IMK on Facebook: www.facebook.com/institut.mk



20.10.2016